

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4752-05/25

Naeem Sadiq

Vs

Controller General of Accounts

August,13, 2025

Ms. Benazir, Account Officer, AGP appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 28-07-2025 under RGL No. 154260579. No response has been received from the appellant employing the he is satisfied with the information provided to him by the public body. In view of this, no further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4760-06/25

Atif Mehta

Vs

NEECA

August,13, 2025

Malik Usman Advocate appeared on behalf of the public body and has furnished fresh power of attorney.

1. It has been observed that two notices were issued to the public body dated 04-06-2025 and 30-06-2025 under registered cover with copies to the appellant. Notices addressed to the appellant have been received from the post office with the remarks that name and address is incomplete.
2. The registrar office, on seeing the address, has apparently found it not fully complete in order to complete its receipt, which is negation of Section 11 (iii) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.
3. In view of the above, this appeal cannot proceed and hence The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to the public body only as the appellant's address is incomplete.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4784-06/25

Wali Khan

Vs

Wafaqi Mohtasib Secretariat

August,13, 2025

Faisal Hassan, APS, Wafaqi Mohtasib appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 15-07-2025 under RGL No. 153052765. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission
Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 3933-09/24

Azmat Khan

Vs

NAB

August 13, 2025

Shafqat Munir Malik Special Prosecutor NAB and Barj Lal, Director (Media), NAB appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Revised reply received from the public body was shared with the appellant 29-04-2025 under REGL 153081386 in response to rejoinder dated 11-12-2025 of the appellant on first information sharing. No further response has been received from the appellant.
2. The learned public Prosecutor under section 6 of the RTI Act, 2017 the information sought by the appellant does not come under the preview of the public record. As far as section 5 (1) (i) of the Act is concerned he stated that this pertains to inquiries or investigation that have been finalized whereas the information request does not seek any document about finalized inquiry or investigation in fact the query is general in nature.
3. He further states that the record cannot be provided in view of section 7 (g) of the Act as it relates to the privacy of the person inquiries or acted upon. He has also invoked section 7 (h) of the Act as the persons who are inquired against provide various documents which are not required to be disclosed to a third party.
4. Referring to Section 16 (1) (b) (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017, he has highlighted that any disclosure has the potential to harm the detection, prevention, investigation, or inquiry in a particular case. Moreover, confidential source of information is also employed in such matters, and it has protection against disclosure under clause (iii) of Section 16 (1) (b) of the Act. His observations about clauses (iv) and (v) could not be substantiated on strong grounds. However, the learned public Prosecutor is able to make out a case in pursuance of Sections 6, 7(c), 7(g), 7(h), and 16 (1) (b) (ii) and (iii) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017. The Commission observes that these provisions of the Act are well attracted and any disclosure of the required information would not be permissible under these provisions. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4766-06/25

Nadeem Tanoli

Vs

National Computing Education Accreditation Council

August,13, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body. The response provided by the public body was shared by the Commission office via email dated 08-07-2025. The Assistant Director confirms that no response has been received. In view of this, it appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

